## STATE OF MICHIGAN

## COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of LOUIS J. SHROPSHIRE, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

LENORA SHROPSHIRE,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

v

REGINALD MCDOREY,

Respondent.

Before: Gage, P.J., and Gribbs and Hoekstra, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the family court order terminating her parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (g), (i), and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (g), (i) and (j). We affirm.

Only one statutory ground is required to terminate parental rights. In re McIntyre, 192 Mich App 47, 50; 480 NW2d 293 (1991). Although the family court erred in terminating respondentappellant's parental rights under § 19b(3)(a)(ii), the family court did not clearly err in finding that the remaining statutory grounds for termination, §§ 19b(3)(c)(i), (g), (i), and (j), were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); In re Miller, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, respondent-appellant failed to show that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); In re Hall-Smith, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997).

UNPUBLISHED April 30, 1999

No. 212360 Wayne Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 79-215736 Thus, the family court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights to the child. *Id*. Affirmed.

/s/ Hilda R. Gage /s/ Roman S. Gribbs

/s/ Joel P. Hoekstra